arXiv:math/9902048v1 [math.AT] 6 Feb 1999

G-Actions on Riemann Surfaces and the Associated Group of Singular Orbit Data

Ralph Grieder

Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208-2730
e-mail: ralph@math.nwu.edu

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): Primary 57M60, 57R85, 20C15; Secondary 58G10

Abstract

Let G be a finite group. To every smooth G-action on a compact, connected and oriented Riemann surface we can associate its data of singular orbits. The set of such data becomes an Abelian group $\mathbb{B}_G$ under the G-equivariant connected sum. The map which sends G to $\mathbb{B}_G$ is functorial and carries many features of the representation theory of finite groups. In this paper we will give a complete computation of the group $\mathbb{B}_G$ for any finite group G.

There is a surjection from the G-equivariant cobordism group of surface diffeomorphisms Ω_G to $\mathbb{B}_G$. We will prove that the kernel of this surjection is isomorphic to $H_2(G;\mathbb{Z})$. Thus Ω_G is an Abelian group extension of $\mathbb{B}_G$ by $H_2(G;\mathbb{Z})$.

Finally we will prove that the group $\mathbb{B}_G$ contains only elements of order two if and only if every complex character of G has values in $\mathbb{R}$. This property shows a strong relationship between the functor $\mathbb{B}$ and the representation theory of finite groups.

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group and S_g a connected, oriented and compact Riemann surface of genus g. One can assign to every diffeomorphic G-action on a surface S_g its data of singular orbits and with this define the singular orbit data. In [8] the author defines a group structure on the set of all singular orbit data and the resulting group is denoted by $\mathbb{B}_G$ (see section 2 for definitions). In the same paper it is proven that the correspondence

$$G \longmapsto \mathbb{B}_G$$

is a covariant functor. For finite subgroups H of G there are also restriction and induction maps between the groups $\mathbb{B}_H$ and $\mathbb{B}_G$, together with a double coset formula, thus the functor $\mathbb{B}$ could be named *geometric representation theory.*

Another property of the group $\mathbb{B}_G$ which is proven in [8, section 6] states that there exists a surjection χ from Ω_G, the G-equivariant cobordism group in dimension two, onto $\mathbb{B}_G$ (see also section 5).

The main purpose of this paper is to prove theorem 19 which gives a complete computation of the group $\mathbb{B}_G$ for any finite group G.

In section 5 we will prove that the kernel of the above map χ is isomorphic to $H_2(G;\mathbb{Z})$ and thus Ω_G is an Abelian group extension of $\mathbb{B}_G$ by $H_2(G;\mathbb{Z})$.

Moreover in section 6 we will show that the group $\mathbb{B}_G$ contains only elements of order two if and only if every complex character of G has values in $\mathbb{R}$. This property shows a strong relationship between the functor $\mathbb{B}$ and the representation theory of finite groups.

Another reason for the interest in the group $\mathbb{B}_G$ comes from the fact that it is possible to deduce information about the cohomology of the mapping class group.

The mapping class group Γ_g is defined as follows. We write $\mathit{Diffeo}_+(S_g)$ for the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of S_g with the C^∞-topology, and $\mathit{Diffeo}^0_+(S_g)$ for the connected component of the identity. Then we have $\Gamma_g = \mathit{Diffeo}_+(S_g)/\mathit{Diffeo}^0_+(S_g)$. For a detailed discussion of the mapping class group see [10].

The singular orbit data is also an invariant of a diffeomorphic G-action up to isotopy, i.e., we can assign to every finite subgroup G of a mapping class group Γ_g its singular orbit data.

The mapping class group acts on the first homology group of S_g. This action preserves the intersection pairing and thus gives rise to a symplectic representation $\eta : \Gamma_g \to Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$. The unitary group $U(g)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus for any embedding $\phi : G \to \Gamma_g$ the map $\eta\circ\phi$ factors up to conjugation through $U(g) \subset Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ and this unitary representation is denoted by $\varphi(\phi)$. Hence φ assigns to every embedding ϕ a complex representation.

The important fact is now that the map φ induces a group homomorphism θ from $\mathbb{B}_G$ to a quotient of the complex representation ring of G.

$$\theta : \mathbb{B}_G \to R_{\mathbb{C}}G/E_G$$

With this homomorphism θ we can now deduce results about the cohomology of the mapping class group.

In the case where G is the cyclic group of prime order p, it is shown in [6] that the images of the symplectic classes $d_i \in H^{2i}(BSp(\mathbb{R});\mathbb{Z})$ in $H^{2i}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z})$ have infinite order. Here we consider the stable situation, i.e., Γ is the stable mapping class group.

Another result in [7] states that one can embed polynomial algebras in the cohomology of the stable mapping class group. For p a regular prime, we have $H^*(BSp(\mathbb{R});\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[d_1, d_2, \dots]$, $\deg d_i = 2i$ and the map $\eta^* : H^*(BSp(\mathbb{R});\mathbb{F}_p) \to H^*(\Gamma;\mathbb{F}_p)$ is injective on the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_p[(d_i)_{i\in J}]$, $J = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \equiv 1 \mod 2 \text{ or } i \equiv 0 \mod p-1\}$.

2 The Group of Singular Orbit Data

Let ϕ be an embedding of any finite group G into some mapping class group Γ_g. By Kerckhoff [9] any such embedding can be lifted to a homomorphism $G \to \mathit{Diffeo}_+(S_g)$, i.e., to an action of G on the surface S_g such that the elements of G act by orientation preserving diffeomorphisms. Let Gx denote the orbit of $x \in S_g$ under the action of G. The orbit is called singular if $|Gx| < |G|$, else regular. If the orbit is singular, then there are elements of G which stabilize the point $x \in S_g$ and G_x denotes the stabilizer of G at x. It is proven by Accola [1, Lemma 4.10] that the stabilizers are cyclic subgroups of G. Let y be another element of the orbit Gx. Thus there is an element $a \in G$ such that $ax = y$ and the stabilizer of y is conjugate to G_x, i.e., $aG_xa^{-1} = G_y$. As the elements of G operate by orientation preserving diffeomorphisms there are only finitely many singular orbits. Let $x_i \in S_g$, $i = 1, ..., q$ be representatives of these orbits and ν_i the orders of the stabilizer groups G_{x_i}. Every group G_{x_i} has a generator $\gamma_i \in G$ such that γ_i acts by rotation through $2\pi/\nu_i$ on the tangent space at x_i. Similarly $a\gamma_i a^{-1}$, $a \in G$, generates $aG_{x_i}a^{-1}$ and acts *also* by rotation through $2\pi/\nu_i$ on the tangent space at ax_i. Thus in order to collect information about the singular orbits, it is enough to consider the conjugacy classes of the elements γ_i. Let $\hat{\gamma}_i$ denote the conjugacy class of γ_i in G. The **singular orbit data** of the embedding ϕ is then the unordered collection

$$\left\{\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q\right\}_G.$$

By W_G we will denote the set of all singular orbit data of G. This data depends a priori on the chosen lifting of ϕ, but by [8, Lemma 1] we know that this data is well defined for an embedding of G. In the sequel we will omit the subscript $_G$ if it is clear with respect to which group the conjugacy classes are taken.

We have seen that every diffeomorphic G-action gives rise to a singular orbit data. The question is now, which q-tuple of conjugacy classes $\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q$ come form a G-action? The next proposition answers this question.

Proposition 1 *An unordered q-tuple of conjugacy classes $\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q$ is the singular orbit data of a G-action if and only if $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_q \in [G, G]$.*
Here $[G, G]$ denotes the commutator subgroup of G.

Proof . [8, proposition 4] ■

In the sequel we will denote by $\left[\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q\right]_G$ an unordered q-tuple of conjugacy classes of G such that $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_q \in [G, G]$ and by Λ_G the set of all such q-tuples. We have now by proposition 1 a one to one correspondence $\left[\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q\right]_G \mapsto \left\{\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q\right\}_G$ between the elements of Λ_G and W_G. In view of this correspondence we will also call elements of Λ_G singular orbit data.

Next we will define an addition, the G-**equivariant connected sum**, on the set of singular orbit data W_G. Let G act on a surface S_g with singular orbit data $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q\right\}$ and on a surface S_h with singular orbit data $\left\{\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_n\right\}$. Find discs D_1 in S_g and D_2 in S_h such that $\left\{aD_j\right\}_{a \in G}$ are mutually disjoint for $j = 1, 2$. Then excise all discs $\left\{aD_j\right\}_{a \in G}$, $j = 1, 2$, from S_g and S_h and take a connected sum by matching $\partial(aD_1)$ to $\partial(aD_2)$ for all $a \in G$. The resulting surface $S_{g+h+|G|-1}$ has $|G|$ tubes joining S_g and S_h. The actions of G on S_g and S_h can be extended to an action on $S_{g+h+|G|-1}$ by permuting the tubes. The new action has a singular orbit data $\left\{\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_n\right\}$. This construction on surfaces defines an addition on the set W_G.

$$\left\{\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q\right\} \oplus \left\{\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_n\right\} := \left\{\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_n\right\}$$

After this geometric description we can give an algebraic description of the addition on Λ_G. Let $\left[\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q\right]_G$ and $\left[\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_r\right]_G$ be two elements of Λ_G, the addition is now defined as follows:

$$\left[\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q\right]_G \oplus \left[\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_r\right]_G := \left[\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_r\right]_G.$$

With this addition we have only a commutative monoid structure on Λ_G, respectively W_G, where the free actions represent the zero element. To obtain inverse elements we have to introduce the following relations.

Suppose we have an action of G on a surface S_g with singular orbit data $\{\hat{\gamma_1}, \hat{\gamma_1}^{-1}, \hat{\gamma_2}, \dots, \hat{\gamma_q}\}$. Let $\nu = |\langle\gamma_1\rangle|$, then the conjugacy class $\hat{\gamma_1}$ gives rise to a singular orbit with representative x such that $a\gamma_1 a^{-1}$ acts by rotation through $2\pi/\nu$ on the tangent space at ax, $a \in G$. On the other hand $\hat{\gamma_1}^{-1}$ gives rise to another singular orbit with representative z such that $a\gamma_1 a^{-1}$ acts by rotation through $-2\pi/\nu$ on the tangent space at az, $a \in G$. Let T be a set of representatives for the $\langle\gamma_1\rangle$ left cosets of G. Find discs D_1 and D_2 around x and z respectively such that D_j is fixed by $\langle\gamma_1\rangle$, $j = 1, 2$, and $\cup_{j=1,2}\cup_{t\in T}\{tD_j\}$ are mutually disjoint. Then excise all discs $\{tD_j\}_{t\in T}$, $j = 1, 2$, from S_g and connect the boundaries $\partial(tD_1)$ with $\partial(tD_2)$ by means of tubes $S^1 \times [0, 1]$ for every $t \in T$. The resulting surface S_{g+w} has $w = |G|/\nu$ new handles. The action of G on S_g can be extended to S_{g+w} by permuting and rotating the new handles. This extended action yields the singular orbit data $\{\hat{\gamma_2}, \dots, \hat{\gamma_q}\}$. Pairs of singular orbits which have opposite rotation on the tangent spaces will be called cancelling pairs. The above process of eliminating cancelling pairs will be called reduction and if there are no such cancelling pairs left the singular orbit data is said to be in reduced form.

Now we can define the relation.

$$\{\hat{\gamma_1}, \dots, \hat{\gamma_q}\} \sim \{\hat{\beta_1}, \dots, \hat{\beta_n}\} :\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{The two singular orbit data} \\ \text{have the same reduced form} \end{cases}$$

This relation defines an equivalence relation on the set W_G of singular orbit data.

$$\mathbb{W}_G := W_G/\sim$$

The set $\mathbb{W}_G$ is not only a commutative monoid as W_G but contains also inverse elements and thus is a commutative group. The inverse element of $\{\hat{\gamma_1}, \dots, \hat{\gamma_q}\}$ is $\{\hat{\gamma_1}^{-1}, \dots, \hat{\gamma_q}^{-1}\}$ and the zero elements are cancelling pairs $\{\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^{-1}\}$, $\gamma \in G$, and $\{\varnothing\}$ the free action.

We can also give a purely algebraic description of this group in terms of Λ_G

$$\mathbb{B}_G = \Lambda_G/\langle[\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^{-1}]_G \;\big|\; \gamma \in G\rangle \tag{1}$$

with the inverse elements $\ominus[\hat{\gamma_1}, \dots, \hat{\gamma_q}] = [\hat{\gamma_1}^{-1}, \dots, \hat{\gamma_q}^{-1}]$. It is obvious from the definitions that the groups $\mathbb{B}_G$ and $\mathbb{W}_G$ are canonically isomorphic (see also [8, proposition 4]). In the sequel we will only use the notation $[\hat{\gamma_1}, \dots, \hat{\gamma_q}]$ for singular orbit data and $\mathbb{B}_G$ for the **group of singular orbit data**. Even though elements of $\mathbb{B}_G$ consist of classes of singular orbit data, we will by abuse of language also use the notation $[\hat{\gamma_1}, \dots, \hat{\gamma_q}]$ for elements of $\mathbb{B}_G$. But one has to keep in mind that with this notation there is always a choice of representative involved.

Remark 1. All free G-actions represent the zero element in $\mathbb{B}_G$.

Remark 2. The addition doesn't have any control on the genus. The genus can become arbitrarily large.

Because of the purely algebraic description of $\mathbb{B}_G$ in equation (1) it is now possible to give a complete computation of this group. This is done in theorem 10 for finite Abelian groups and for arbitrary finite groups in theorem 19.

The map φ, which is defined in section 1, depends only on the singular orbit data and the genus of the surface. Two G-actions which have the same singular orbit data but not the same genus are mapped under φ to representations which differ only by a rational representation. Moreover the singular orbit data which form the relations, $[\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^{-1}]_G$, $\gamma \in G$, are mapped under φ to rational representations and we obtain a well defined map

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : \mathbb{B}_G &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \varphi(\phi_\alpha) - \overline{\varphi(\phi_\alpha)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Here ϕ_α denotes a G-action which represents the element $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_G$ and $\overline{\varphi(\phi_\alpha)}$ denotes the complex conjugate representation of $\varphi(\phi_\alpha)$. In addition one can prove that the map η is actually a group homomorphism.

The homomorphism $\eta \circ \chi$ is the G-signature defined by Atiyah and Singer in [2]. This G-signature was used in the case $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p a prime, by Ewing in [5] and in the case $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, n an integer, by Edmonds/Ewing in [4] to prove their results.

There is another way to define a G-signature with the help of the map φ. By factoring out the image under φ of all the relations of $\mathbb{B}_G$, φ induces a group homomorphism θ.

$$\theta : \mathbb{B}_G \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)/E_G$$

Here $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)/E_G$ denotes the complex representation ring modulo the subgroup E_G. The map θ and the subgroup E_G are studied in some details in [8, section 4]. In this paper we want to concentrate on the group $\mathbb{B}_G$. However, in section 6 we give a short overview of the map θ and the subgroup E_G.

There are other interesting facts about the groups $\mathbb{B}_G$, which are proven in [8]. E.g. for a homomorphism of finite groups $f : H \rightarrow G$ there is a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{B}_f : \mathbb{B}_H &\rightarrow \mathbb{B}_G \\ [\hat{\gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_q] &\mapsto [\widehat{f(\gamma_1)}, \dots, \widehat{f(\gamma_q)}] \end{aligned}$$

such that $\mathbb{B}_{f \circ g} = \mathbb{B}_f \circ \mathbb{B}_g$ and $\mathbb{B}_{id} = id$ and thus the correspondence $\mathbb{B} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_G$ is functorial. This functor $\mathbb{B}$ carries many features of the representation theory of finite groups. Let H be a subgroup of G and i its inclusion. The inclusion induces the homomorphism $\mathbb{B}_i : \mathbb{B}_H \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_G$ which is called induction map. The terminology is motivated by the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{B}_H \arrow[r, "\mathbb{B}_i"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{B}_G \arrow[d] \\ R_{\mathbb{C}}H/E_H \arrow[r, "\widetilde{Ind}_H^G"] & R_{\mathbb{C}}G/E_G \end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\widetilde{Ind}_H^G$ denotes the induction map restricted to the quotient. Let K be another subgroup of G, then there is another map $\mathbb{B}res_K^G : \mathbb{B}_G \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_K$ called the restriction map. It is defined by restricting the singular orbits of G to the subgroup K. This map satisfies also a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{B}_K \arrow[d] & \mathbb{B}_G \arrow[l, "\mathbb{B}res_K^G"'] \arrow[d] \\
R_{\mathbb{C}}K/E_K & R_{\mathbb{C}}G/E_G \arrow[l, "\widetilde{Res}_K^G"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\widetilde{Res}_K^G$ is the restriction map restricted to the quotient. Furthermore the induction and restriction maps satisfy a double coset formula (see [8, proposition 5]). Thus the functor $\mathbb{B}$ describes a *geometric representation theory.*

Before we can turn to the next section we will give five examples to illustrate the nature of the groups $\mathbb{B}_G$. We will also prove two lemmata which will be useful throughout the paper.

In the following examples the cyclic group of order m will be denoted by C_m.

Example 1. $G = C_2$; $\mathbb{B}_G \cong 0$.

Example 2. Let m be odd, $G = C_m = \langle x \rangle$ then $\mathbb{B}_G \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$ and a basis for $\mathbb{B}_G$ is given by $\left[x, x^i, x^{m-i-1}\right]$, $i = 1, \dots, \frac{m-1}{2}$.

Example 3. Let m be even, $G = C_m = \langle x \rangle$ then $\mathbb{B}_G \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{m}{2}-1}$ and a basis for $\mathbb{B}_G$ is given by $\left[x, x^i, x^{m-i-1}\right]$, $i = 1, \dots, \frac{m}{2} - 1$.

Example 4. Let p be an odd prime, $G = C_p \times C_p = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$ then $\mathbb{B}_G \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{p^2-1}{2}}$ and a basis for $\mathbb{B}_G$ is given by $[x^j, y^i, x^{p-j}y^{p-i}]$, $j = 1, \dots, (p-1)/2$, $i = 1, \dots, p-1$; $[x, x^k, x^{p-k-1}]$, $k = 1, \dots, (p-1)/2$; $[y, y^l, y^{p-l-1}]$, $l = 1, \dots, (p-1)/2$.

For p the even prime, we have $\mathbb{B}_G \cong C_2$ and the generator is $\left[x, y, xy\right]$.

Example 5. Let $G = S_3 = C_3 \rtimes C_2$, $C_3 = \langle a \rangle$ and $C_2 = \langle b \rangle$. Then we have $[G, G] = C_3$, $\hat{a} = \{a, a^2\}$ and $\hat{b} = \{ab, a^2b, b\}$. The singular orbit data are then $\left[\hat{a}\right]$, $2 \cdot \left[\hat{a}\right] = \left[\hat{a}, \hat{a}\right] = \left[\hat{a}, \widehat{a^2}\right] = 0$, $\left[\hat{b}, \hat{b}\right] = 0$. Thus the group of singular orbit data is generated by $\left[\hat{a}\right]$ and $\mathbb{B}_{S_3} = \left\langle \left[\hat{a}\right] \right\rangle \cong C_2$.

As $\mathbb{B}_{C_2} \cong 0$ the only maps which are of any interest are the maps $\mathbb{B}res_{C_3}^{S_3} : \mathbb{B}_{S_3} \to \mathbb{B}_{C_3}$ and $\mathbb{B}_i : \mathbb{B}_{C_3} \to \mathbb{B}_{S_3}$, where i is the inclusion $i : C_3 \hookrightarrow S_3$. The maps are given by $\mathbb{B}res_{C_3}^{S_3}([\hat{a}]_{S_3}) = [a, a^2]_{C_3} = 0$ and $\mathbb{B}_i([a, a, a]_{C_3}) = [\hat{a}, \hat{a}, \hat{a}]_{S_3} = [\hat{a}]_{S_3}$. Thus $\mathbb{B}res_{C_3}^{S_3}$ is just the zero map and $\mathbb{B}_i$ is the surjection of $\mathbb{Z}$ onto C_2.

Lemma 2 *Any element of* $\mathbb{B}_G$ *can be written as a sum of triples* $\left[\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}\right] \in \mathbb{B}_G$.

Proof . Let $\left[\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n\right]$ be any element of $\mathbb{B}_G$. Then we can reduce the length by splitting off a triple.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n\right] &= \left[\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \widehat{x_1 x_2}^{-1}\right] \oplus \left[\widehat{x_1 x_2}, \hat{x}_3, \dots, \hat{x}_n\right] = \cdots \\
&= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-3} \left[\widehat{x_1 \cdots x_i}, \hat{x}_{i+1}, \widehat{x_1 \cdots x_{i+1}}^{-1}\right] \\
&\quad \oplus \left[\widehat{x_1 \cdots x_{n-2}}, \hat{x}_{n-1}, \hat{x}_n\right]
\end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 3. The choice of the conjugacy class $\widehat{x_1 \cdots x_i}$, $i = 2, \ldots, n-2$, in lemma 2 is not unique. Every conjugacy class which is mapped to the same element in $G/[G,G]$ would also be a possible choice.

Lemma 3 *For any group G let $M = \{[\hat{x}_{i,1}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{i,m_i}] \,|\, i = 1, \ldots, m\}$, $Q = \{[\hat{z}_{i,1}, \ldots, \hat{z}_{i,q_i}] \,|\, i = 1, \ldots, q\}$ and $N = \{[\hat{y}_{i,1}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{i,n_i}] \,|\, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ be subsets of $\mathbb{B}_G$. Let K be the subgroup generated by $M \cup Q$ and H the subgroup generated by N. If the restrictions*

$$\hat{x}_{i,m_i} \neq \hat{x}_{i,m_i}^{-1} \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, m \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{x}_{i,m_i} \neq \hat{x}_{k,l}^{\pm 1} \text{ for all } (i, m_i) \neq (k, l) \; ; \; i, k = 1, \ldots, m \; ; \; l = 1, \ldots, m_k \tag{4}$$

$$\hat{z}_{i,j} = \hat{z}_{i,j}^{-1} \text{ for all } j = 1, \ldots, q_i \;\; i = 1, \ldots, q \tag{5}$$

$$\hat{z}_{i,q_i} \neq \hat{z}_{k,l} \text{ for all } (i, q_i) \neq (k, l) \; ; \; i, k = 1, \ldots, q \; ; \; l = 1, \ldots, q_k \tag{6}$$

$$\hat{x}_{i,m_i} \neq \hat{y}_{k,l}^{\pm 1} \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, m \; ; \; k = 1, \ldots, n \; ; \; l = 1, \ldots, n_k \tag{7}$$

$$\hat{z}_{i,q_i} \neq \hat{y}_{k,l} \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, q \; ; \; k = 1, \ldots, n \; ; \; l = 1, \ldots, n_k \tag{8}$$

apply, then the following hold.

- *(a) There are no relations between the elements of $M \cup Q$ except the obvious ones, $2 \cdot \alpha = 0$, $\forall\, \alpha \in Q$, thus $K \cong \mathbb{Z}^m \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^q$.*
- *(b) The intersection of K with H is the trivial group and thus $K \oplus H < \mathbb{B}_G$.*

Proof . Note that by equation (3) and (5) we have:

$$\hat{x}_{i,m_i} \neq \hat{z}_{k,j} \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, m \; ; \; j = 1, \ldots, q_k \; ; \; k = 1, \ldots, q \tag{9}$$

and every element of Q has order two.

First we prove statement (a). In a linear combination

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} a_i \big[\hat{x}_{i,1}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{i,m_i}\big] \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q} \epsilon_i \big[\hat{z}_{i,1}, \ldots, \hat{z}_{i,q_i}\big] \; , \; a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \; , \; \epsilon_i = 0, 1 \tag{10}$$

the conjugacy classes $\hat{x}_{i,m_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, cannot cancel because of equations (4) and (9) and thus they appear exactly a_i times. Consequently to have the linear combination (10) equal zero, the coefficient a_i, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, have to be trivial. Furthermore by equation (6) a similar argument about the conjugacy classes $\hat{z}_{i,q_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, q$, shows that the ϵ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, q$, have to be trivial.

Next we prove statement (b). Any nontrivial element η of the intersection $K \cap H$ is a linear combination like in (10). Thus at least one of the conjugacy classes $\hat{x}_{i,m_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, or $\hat{z}_{i,q_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, q$ has to appear. On the other hand η is also a linear combination of the $[\hat{y}_{i,1}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{i,n_i}]$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and thus by equations (7) and (8) the $\hat{x}_{i,m_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $\hat{z}_{i,q_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, q$ cannot appear which yields a contradiction. ■

3 Finite Abelian Groups

In this section G will always denote a finite Abelian group and the maximal rank of an elementary Abelian 2-subgroup of G will be denoted by n_G. First let G be a cyclic group of prime power order p^r with generator x, then we can define the following subsets of G.

Definition 4

$$\begin{aligned}
p = 2 \ \ , T_G^+ &:= \{x^i \bigm| i = 1, \dots , p^r/2 - 1\} \\
S_G &:= \{x^{p^r/2}\} \\
p \neq 2 \ \ , T_G^+ &:= \{x^i \bigm| i = 1, \dots , (p^r - 1)/2\} \\
S_G &:= \emptyset
\end{aligned}$$

With these sets we can define $T_G^- := \{x^{-1} \,|\, x \in T_G^+\}$ and thus $T_G := \{x \in G \,|\, x \neq x^{-1}\}$ is the disjoint union of T_G^+ with T_G^-. Furthermore we have also $S_G = \{x \in G \,|\, x = x^{-1} \ , \ x \neq 1\}$. For a product $G = G_1 \times G_2$ we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 5

$$\begin{aligned}
T_G^+ &:= T_{G_1}^+ \cup T_{G_2}^+ \cup \big(T_{G_1}^+ \times S_{G_2}\big) \cup \big(T_{G_1}^+ \times T_{G_2}\big) \cup \big(S_{G_1} \times T_{G_2}^+\big) \\
&= T_{G_1}^+ \cup T_{G_2}^+ \cup \big(T_{G_1}^+ \times G_2 \setminus \{1\}\big) \cup \big(S_{G_1} \times T_{G_2}^+\big) && (11) \\
S_G &:= S_{G_1} \cup S_{G_2} \cup \big(S_{G_1} \times S_{G_2}\big) && (12)
\end{aligned}$$

In this definition elements x of G_1 or G_2 are thought of as elements $(x, 1)$, respectively $(1, x)$, of G.

With the set T_G^+ we can again define the set $T_G^- := \{x^{-1} \,|\, x \in T_G^+\}$ and $T_G := \{x \in G \,|\, x \neq x^{-1}\}$ is also the disjoint union of T_G^+ with T_G^-. It is again true that $S_G = \{x \in G \,|\, x = x^{-1} \ , \ x \neq 1\}$.

Every finite Abelian group G is isomorphic to a product of cyclic groups of prime power order. By definitions 4 and 5 we can define recursively for every such factorization of G together with a fixed choice of generator for each factor, different sets T_G^+, T_G^- and S_G. These different sets however are isomorphic by the isomorphisms between the different factorizations. In the sequel we will fix for every finite Abelian group one factorization into cyclic subgroups of prime power order together with a generator for each factor. Thus from now on T_G^+ and S_G are fixed subsets of G.

Definition 6 *For G a cyclic group of order p^r, p a prime, x the fixed generating element, we define*

$$\begin{aligned}
W_G &:= \Big\{ \big[x, x^i, x^{p^r-1-i}\big] \in \mathbb{B}_G \bigm| \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \textit{ such that } x^i \in T_G^+ \Big\} \\
V_G &:= \emptyset
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7 *The set W_G is a basis for $\mathbb{B}_G$ for any cyclic group G of prime power order.*

Proof . To prove that W_G generates $\mathbb{B}_G$, it is enough by lemma 2 to show that triples $\left[x^k, x^l, x^q\right]$ lie in the span of W_G.

Let $\left[x^k, x^l, x^q\right]$ be any triple in $\mathbb{B}_G$ with $k+l+q = sp^r$ and $1 \leq k, l, q < p^r$, then we have the following equations. (In the equations below the sums $q+k$, $q+t$ and $q+t+1$ will always be modulo p^r.)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[x^k, x^l, x^q\right] &= \left[x^k, x^l, x^{q+1}, x^{p^r-1}, x, x^{p^r-(q+1)}, x^q\right] \\
&= \left[x^k, x^l, x^{q+1}, x^{p^r-1}\right] \oplus \left[x, x^{p^r-(q+1)}, x^q\right] = \cdots = \\
&= \left[x^k, x^l, x^{q+k}, \underbrace{x^{p^r-1}, \dots, x^{p^r-1}}_{k}\right] \oplus \bigoplus_{t=0}^{k-1} \left[x, x^{p^r-(q+t+1)}, x^{q+t}\right] \\
&= \ominus\left[\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{k}, x^{p^r-k}\right] \oplus \bigoplus_{t=0}^{k-1} \left[x, x^{p^r-(q+t+1)}, x^{q+t}\right] \\
&= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k-1} \ominus \left[x, x^j, x^{p^r-j-1}\right] \bigoplus_{t=0}^{k-1} \left[x, x^{p^r-(q+t+1)}, x^{q+t}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

We will prove the linear independence only in the case $p \neq 2$. Let

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{(p^r-1)/2} a_i \left[x, x^i, x^{p^r-1-i}\right] \ , \ \ a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

be any linear combination of elements in W_G. The elements x^j, $j = 2, \dots, (p^r-3)/2$ and their inverse x^{p^r-j} appear exactly a_j, respectively a_{j-1} times, in this linear combination, namely in $a_j\left[x, x^j, x^{p^r-1-j}\right]$ and in $a_{j-1}\left[x, x^{j-1}, x^{p^r-j}\right]$. The element $x^{(p^r-1)/2}$ appears exactly $2a_{(p^r-1)/2}$ times namely in $a_{(p^r-1)/2}\left[x, x^{(p^r-1)/2}, x^{(p^r-1)/2}\right]$ and its inverse $a_{(p^r-3)/2}$ times in $a_{(p^r-3)/2}\left[x, x^{(p^r-3)/2}, x^{(p^r+1)/2}\right]$.

A necessary condition for the linear combination to be zero is such that every element x^j, $j = 1, \dots, (p^r-1)/2$ cancels with its inverse. This can only happen when

$$a_j = a_{j-1} \ , \ \ j = 2, \dots, (p^r-3)/2 \ \text{ and } \ a_{(p^r-3)/2} = 2a_{(p^r-1)/2}.$$

This however implies that the element x appears $p^r a_{(p^r-1)/2}$ times but its inverse never. Thus the linear combination can only be zero when $a_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, (p^r-1)/2$. ■

Definition 8 *Let G be a product of two groups $G = G_1 \times G_2$. An element $x \in G_i$, $i = 1, 2$, will also be considered as an element of G. Then we define*

$$\begin{aligned}
W_G :=& \Big\{ \left[x, y, (x,y)^{-1}\right] \in \mathbb{B}_G \ \Big| \ x \in T^+_{G_1}, y \in G_2 \setminus \{1\} \text{ or } x \in S_{G_1}, y \in T^+_{G_2} \Big\} \\
& \cup W_{G_1} \cup W_{G_2} \\
V_G :=& \Big\{ \left[x, y, (x,y)\right] \in \mathbb{B}_G \ \Big| \ x \in S_{G_1}, y \in S_{G_2} \Big\} \cup V_{G_1} \cup V_{G_2}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4. The set V_G contains only elements of order two, i.e., for all $\big[x, y, (x, y)\big] \in V_G$ we have $2 \cdot \big[x, y, (x, y)\big] = 0$. On the other hand W_G contains only elements of infinite order.

In the same way as we constructed the sets T_G^+ and S_G recursively for any finite Abelian group G, we can construct by definitions 6 and 8 the subsets W_G and V_G of $\mathbb{B}_G$ recursively for any finite Abelian group G.

Theorem 9 *The set $W_G \cup V_G$ is a basis for $\mathbb{B}_G$ for any finite Abelian group G.*

Proof . We will take advantage of the recursive definition of the sets W_G and V_G and prove the theorem by induction on the factorization of G into a product of groups. For cyclic groups of prime power order the theorem follows from proposition 7.

Let now G be a product of G_1 and G_2 and assume that the theorem holds for the two groups G_1 and G_2.

First we prove that the set $W_G \cup V_G$ generates $\mathbb{B}_G$. By lemma 2 it is enough to prove that triples lie in the span of $W_G \cup V_G$. An arbitrary triple has the form $\big[(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}, y_1^{-1}y_2^{-1})\big] \in \mathbb{B}_G$, $x_i \in G_1$, $y_i \in G_2$, $i = 1, 2$, and we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\big[(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}, y_1^{-1}y_2^{-1})\big] &= \big[x_1^{-1}, y_1^{-1}, (x_1, y_1)\big] \\ &\oplus \big[x_2^{-1}, y_2^{-1}, (x_2, y_2)\big] \oplus \big[x_1x_2, y_1y_2, (x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}, y_1^{-1}y_2^{-1})\big] \\ &\oplus \big[x_1, x_2, x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}\big] \oplus \big[y_1, y_2, y_1^{-1}y_2^{-1}\big].\end{aligned}$$

The first three summand (resp. their inverse) of the right hand side of the equation are elements of $W_G \cup V_G$. The forth summand is an element of $\mathbb{B}_{G_1}$ and thus by assumption a linear combination of elements in $W_{G_1} \cup V_{G_1}$, which is contained in $W_G \cup V_G$, the same holds for the fifth summand when we replace G_1 by G_2. Note that whenever $x_i = 1$ or $y_i = 1$ then we ignore the elements which are zero.

It remains to prove that there are no relations between the elements of $W_G \cup V_G$ except the obvious ones, i.e., $2\alpha = 0$, $\forall\, \alpha \in V_G$. To apply lemma 3 we have to specify what are the sets M, Q and N. In our situation we have that $M = W_G \setminus (W_{G_1} \cup W_{G_2})$, $Q = V_G \setminus (V_{G_1} \cup V_{G_2})$ and $N = W_{G_1} \cup W_{G_2} \cup V_{G_1} \cup V_{G_2}$. By definition 8 the equations (3) to (8) of lemma 3 are satisfied and therefore there are no relations, except the obvious ones, between the elements of $W_G \cup V_G \setminus (W_{G_1} \cup W_{G_2} \cup V_{G_1} \cup V_{G_2})$ and also no relations with elements of $W_{G_1} \cup W_{G_2} \cup V_{G_1} \cup V_{G_2}$. On the other hand by assumption $W_{G_i} \cup V_{G_i}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{B}_{G_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, and there are obviously no relations between $W_{G_1} \cup V_{G_1}$ and $W_{G_2} \cup V_{G_2}$. ■

Theorem 10

$$\mathbb{B}_G \cong \mathbb{Z}^{|T_G^+|} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{|S_G| - n_G}$$

Proof . By theorem 9 and remark 4 we have $\mathbb{B}_G = \mathbb{Z}^{|W_G|} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{|V_G|}$ thus it suffices to prove $|W_G| = |T_G^+|$ and $|V_G| = |S_G| - n_G$. We will again proceed by induction on the product structure.

For a cyclic group of prime power order p^r we have by definitions 4 and 6

$$\begin{aligned} p = 2\ ,\ &|W_G| = |T_G^+|\ ,\\ &|S_G| = 1 = n_G \text{ and thus } |V_G| = 0 = |S_G| - n_G; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p \neq 2\ ,\ &|W_G| = |T_G^+|\ ,\\ &|S_G| = 0 = n_G \text{ and thus } |V_G| = 0 = |S_G| - n_G. \end{aligned}$$

Let now G be a product of G_1 and G_2 and assume that the theorem holds for the two groups G_1 and G_2, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} |W_{G_1}| &= |T_{G_1}^+| & |V_{G_1}| &= |S_{G_1}| - n_{G_1}\\ |W_{G_2}| &= |T_{G_2}^+| & |V_{G_2}| &= |S_{G_2}| - n_{G_2}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition 8, the equations (11) and (12) and the fact that $n_G = n_{G_1} + n_{G_2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |W_G| &= |T_{G_1}^+| \cdot (|G_2| - 1) + |S_{G_1}| \cdot |T_{G_2}^+| + |W_{G_1}| + |W_{G_2}|\\ &= |T_{G_1}^+| \cdot (|G_2| - 1) + |S_{G_1}| \cdot |T_{G_2}^+| + |T_{G_1}^+| + |T_{G_2}^+|\\ &= |T_G^+|,\\ |V_G| &= |S_{G_1}| \cdot |S_{G_2}| + |V_{G_1}| + |V_{G_2}|\\ &= |S_{G_1}| \cdot |S_{G_2}| + |S_{G_1}| - n_{G_1} + |S_{G_2}| - n_{G_2}\\ &= |S_G| - n_G. \end{aligned}$$

■

Later on in the Non-Abelian case it will be more convenient to replace V_G by another basis. Let D_2 be the 2-subgroup of $\mathbb{B}_G$, i.e., $D_2 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{|S_G| - n_G}$. Furthermore let H_2 be the maximal elementary Abelian 2-subgroup of G, i.e., $H_2 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n_G}$ and the elements $\{z_1, \ldots, z_{n_G}\}$ denote a generating set of H_2. By theorem 10 and the fact that $S_{H_2} = H_2 \setminus \{1\}$ and $T_{H_2}^+ = \emptyset$ we have

$$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{|S_{H_2}| - n_G} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{|H_2| - 1 - n_{H_2}} \cong \mathbb{B}_{H_2} < \mathbb{B}_G$$

Proposition 11

$$\mathbb{B}_{H_2} = D_2 < \mathbb{B}_G$$

Proof . It suffices to prove that $H_2 \setminus \{1\} = S_G$.

"$\subset$" Let $x \in H_2 \setminus \{1\} \Rightarrow x = x^{-1}\,,\ x \neq 1 \Rightarrow x \in S_G$
"$\supset$" Let $x \in S_G \setminus H_2$. The group generated by H_2 and x has to be an elementary Abelian 2-group. As H_2 is maximal it follows $x \in H_2$ which contradicts the assumption. ■

We have seen that V_G is a basis for D_2 and thus by proposition 11 also a basis for $\mathbb{B}_{H_2}$. In the following we introduce another basis for $\mathbb{B}_{H_2}$.

Definition 12

$$L_G := \Big\{ \big[z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_s}, z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_s}\big] \in \mathbb{B}_{H_2} \;\big|\; (i_1, \dots, i_s) \textit{ an unordered } s\textit{-tuple, such that } i_j = 1, \dots, n_G \,;\; i_j \neq i_k \,,\; \forall\, j \neq k \quad j,k = 1, \dots, s \,;\; 2 \leq s \leq n_G \Big\}$$

The elements $z_1, \dots, z_{n_G}$ denote a generating set of H_2.

Proposition 13 *L_G is a basis of $\mathbb{B}_{H_2}$.*

Proof . First we prove that L_G spans $\mathbb{B}_{H_2}$. By lemma 2 every element of $\mathbb{B}_{H_2}$ can be written as a sum of triples. The elements z_i, $i = 1, \dots, n_G$, generate H_2, thus an arbitrary triple has the form

$$\big[z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_l}, z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_t}, z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_l} \cdot z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_t}\big]$$

with $z_{i_k} \neq z_{i_h}$ and $z_{j_r} \neq z_{j_q}$ for all $k \neq h$ and $r \neq q$. We can now write this element as a linear combination with elements from L_G.

$$\begin{aligned} &\big[z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_l}, z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_t}, z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_l} \cdot z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_t}\big] \\ &\qquad = \big[z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_l}, z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_l}\big] \oplus \big[z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_t}, z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_t}\big] \\ &\qquad\qquad \oplus \big[z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_l}, z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_t}, z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_l} \cdot z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_t}\big] \end{aligned}$$

If $z_{i_k} = z_{j_r}$ for some k and r then reduce the last summand.
Next we prove that there are no relations. Each element $x \in H_2$ has an unique presentation up to ordering $x = z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_s}$, $i_j = 1, \dots, n_G$, $i_j \neq i_k$, $\forall\, j \neq k$, $j, k = 1, \dots, s$, $1 \leq s \leq n_G$. Thus there is a one to one correspondence between the elements of H_2 which are the product of at least two generators and the elements of L_G. Applying lemma 3(a) with $Q = L_G$ and $M = \emptyset = N$ we deduce that there are no relations between the elements of L_G except the usual ones, i.e., $2\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in L_G$. ■

The conclusion is that both sets L_G and V_G are a basis for the same subgroup $\mathbb{B}_{H_2}$ of $\mathbb{B}_G$.

4 Finite Groups

Let G be a finite group and $[G, G]$ denote the commutator subgroup of G. We obtain a short exact sequence

$$1 \to [G, G] \to G \xrightarrow{\phi} G' \to 1$$

where $G' = G/[G, G]$. We will write $\tilde{x}$ for the image of x under ϕ. The conjugacy class of x in G will be denoted by $\hat{x}$ and the set of conjugacy classes of G by $\widehat{G}$. By the notation $\hat{x}^{-1}$ we will mean $\widehat{x^{-1}}$. This makes sense since $\widehat{x^{-1}} = \widehat{y^{-1}}$ if and only if

x and y are conjugate. The homomorphism ϕ induces not only the homomorphism $\mathbb{B}_\phi$ but also the well defined set map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{\phi} : \hat{G} & \to & \hat{G}' = G'. \\ \hat{x} & \mapsto & \tilde{x} \end{array}$$

In the sequel the symbol $\tilde{x}$ will denote an element of G' and also the subset $x \cdot [G, G] \subset G$. Thus we can write for an element $\tilde{x}$ of G'

$$\tilde{x} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} \hat{x}_i \ , \ \hat{x}_i \in \hat{G} \ , \ i = 0, \dots, n.$$

For every element $\tilde{x} \in G'$ we fix a numbering of its conjugacy classes $\hat{x}_i$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, such that

- $\tilde{x} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} \hat{x}_i$,
- if there are $k+1$ conjugacy classes with $\hat{x}_j = \hat{x}_j^{-1}$ then $\hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_i^{-1}$ if and only if $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$,
- if $\tilde{x} \neq \tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{x}^{-1} = \tilde{y}$ then $\hat{x}_i^{-1} = \hat{y}_i$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$.

Remark 5. If $\tilde{x} \neq \tilde{x}^{-1}$ then $\hat{x}_i^{-1} \neq \hat{x}_j$, $\forall j, i = 0, \dots, n$.

Remark 6. If $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}^{-1}$ and $\hat{x}_0^{-1} \neq \hat{x}_0$ then for every $i = 0, \dots, n$, there exists some $j = 0, \dots, n$, $j \neq i$ with $\hat{x}_i^{-1} = \hat{x}_j$.

Remark 7. If $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}^{-1}$ and $\hat{x}_0^{-1} = \hat{x}_0$ then $\hat{x}_i^{-1} = \hat{x}_i$, $\forall\, i = 0, \dots, k$, for some $0 \leq k \leq n$ and for every $k < i \leq n$, there exists some $k < j \leq n$, $j \neq i$ with $\hat{x}_i^{-1} = \hat{x}_j$.

With this numbering fixed we can define a well defined set map.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{\psi} : G' & \to & \hat{G} \\ \tilde{x} & \mapsto & \hat{x}_0 \end{array}$$

This map satisfies $\hat{\phi} \circ \hat{\psi} = id_{G'}$ and induces a map $\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbb{B}_{G'} & \overset{\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}}{\to} & \mathbb{B}_G \\ \big[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \dots\big] & \mapsto & \big[\hat{\psi}(\tilde{x}), \hat{\psi}(\tilde{y}), \dots\big]. \end{array}$$

The map $\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}$ is not well defined, indeed if $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}^{-1}$ but $\hat{x}_0 \neq \hat{x}_0^{-1}$ then

$$0 = \mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}(0) = \mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}(\big[\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}\big]) = \big[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_0\big] \neq 0.$$

We collect the images of the elements where the map $\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}$ fails to be well defined: $P_G = \big\{ \big[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_0\big] \ \big| \ \tilde{x} = \tilde{x}^{-1} \ , \ \hat{x}_0 \neq \hat{x}_0^{-1} \big\}$.

Proposition 14 *The map $\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}$ is well defined and linear up to elements of P_G.*

Proof . The only relations in $\mathbb{B}_{G'}$ which are not satisfied in $\mathbb{B}_G$, under the map $\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}$, are given by $\big\{\big[\tilde{x},\tilde{x}\big] \mid \tilde{x}=\tilde{x}^{-1}\ ,\ \hat{x}_0\neq\hat{x}_0^{-1}\big\}$. Thus up to elements of P_G the map $\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}$ is well defined.

Let $\big[{}_1\tilde{x},\dots,{}_l\tilde{x}\big]$ and $\big[{}_1\tilde{y},\dots,{}_k\tilde{y}\big]$ be two elements in $\mathbb{B}_{G'}$. (We introduce here the indexing on the left, because we will need also the indexing on the right later on.) Their sum is given by $\big[{}_1\tilde{x},\dots,{}_l\tilde{x},{}_1\tilde{y},\dots,{}_k\tilde{y}\big]$ up to cancelling pairs. Under the map $\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}$ we obtain

$$\big[{}_1\hat{x}_0,\dots,{}_l\hat{x}_0\big]\oplus\big[{}_1\hat{y}_0,\dots,{}_k\hat{y}_0\big]\equiv\big[{}_1\hat{x}_0,\dots,{}_l\hat{x}_0,{}_1\hat{y}_0,\dots,{}_k\hat{y}_0\big]$$

up to the image of cancelling pairs in $\mathbb{B}_{G'}$. The only pairs which cancel in $\mathbb{B}_{G'}$ but their images under $\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}$ do not cancel in $\mathbb{B}_G$ are the elements $\{\big[\tilde{x},\tilde{x}\big] \mid \tilde{x}=\tilde{x}^{-1}\ ,\ \hat{x}_0\neq\hat{x}_0^{-1}\}$. Thus up to elements of P_G the map $\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}$ is linear. ■

Note that whenever $\big[\hat{x}_0,\hat{y}_0,\dots\big]$ is an element of $\mathbb{B}_G$ and $\hat{\phi}(\hat{x}_0)=\hat{\phi}(\hat{x}_i)$ and $\hat{\phi}(\hat{y}_0)=\hat{\phi}(\hat{y}_i)$ then $\big[\hat{x}_i,\hat{y}_j,\dots\big]$ is also an element of $\mathbb{B}_G$ for any i and j. Moreover they have the same image under $\mathbb{B}_\phi$; $\mathbb{B}_\phi(\big[\hat{x}_0,\hat{y}_0,\dots\big])=\mathbb{B}_\phi(\big[\hat{x}_i,\hat{y}_j,\dots\big])=\big[\tilde{x},\tilde{y},\dots\big]$.
We define again the sets S_G, T_G and $T_G^{\pm}$ but with more conditions.

$$S_G=\big\{\hat{x}\in\hat{G} \mid \hat{x}^{-1}=\hat{x}\ ,\ x\neq 1\big\}$$
$$T_G=\big\{\hat{x}\in\hat{G} \mid \hat{x}^{-1}\neq\hat{x}\big\}$$

The set T_G is again the disjoint union of T_G^+ with T_G^- satisfying the following conditions.

1. If $\hat{x}\in T_G^+$ then $\hat{x}^{-1}\in T_G^-$.
2. If $\hat{x}\in T_G^+$ with $\tilde{x}\neq\tilde{x}^{-1}$ then $\hat{y}\in T_G^+$ for every $y\in x\cdot[G,G]$.
3. If $\tilde{x}=\tilde{x}^{-1}$ with $\hat{x}_0\neq\hat{x}_0^{-1}$ then $\hat{x}_0\in T_G^+$.

Note that if $\hat{x}_i\in S_G$ for some i then $\tilde{x}=\tilde{x}^{-1}$ and by the way we fixed the numbering of the conjugacy classes it follows $\hat{x}_0\in S_G$.
With H_2' we denote the maximal elementary Abelian 2-subgroup of G' and with $n_{G'}$ its rank, i.e., $H_2'\cong(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n_{G'}}$. Let

$$M_{G'}=\big\{\tilde{x}\in G' \mid \hat{x}_0^{-1}=\hat{x}_0\big\}$$

be a subset of H_2' and K' the subgroup generated by $M_{G'}$. For the rank of K' we write $n_{K'}$ and then we have

$$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n_{K'}}\cong K'<H_2'\cong(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n_{G'}}.$$

Now we have all the ingredients to construct a basis of $\mathbb{B}_G$ and with this basis to prove theorem 19.

$$N_1 = \Big\{ \big[\hat{x}\big] \mid \tilde{x} = 1 \ , \ \hat{x} \in T_G^+ \cup S_G \Big\}$$
$$N_2 = \Big\{ \big[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i^{-1}\big] \mid \tilde{x} \neq \tilde{x}^{-1} \ , \ \hat{x}_0 \in T_G^+ \ , \ 1 \leq i \leq n \Big\}$$
$$N_3 = \Big\{ \big[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i\big] \mid \tilde{x} = \tilde{x}^{-1} \ , \ \tilde{x} \neq 1 \ , \ \hat{x}_0 \in T_G^+ \ , \ 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ such that } \hat{x}_i \in T_G^+ \Big\}$$
$$N_4 = \Big\{ \big[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i\big] \mid \tilde{x} = \tilde{x}^{-1} \ , \ \tilde{x} \neq 1 \ , \ \hat{x}_0 \in S_G \ , \ 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ such that } \hat{x}_i \in T_G^+ \cup S_G \Big\}$$
$$N_5 = \Big\{ \big[\hat{x}_0, \hat{y}_0, \hat{z}_0\big] = \mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}(\big[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}\big]) \in \mathbb{B}_G \ \big| \ \big[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}\big] \in W_{G'} \Big\}$$

For the last sets N_6 and N_7 we need the basis $L_{G'}$ of $\mathbb{B}_{H_2'}$ with a special choice of the generating elements of H_2'. Choose a generating set $\{ {}_1\tilde{z}, \ldots , {}_{n_{K'}}\tilde{z} \}$ of K' such that ${}_i\tilde{z} \in M_{G'}$, $i = 1, \ldots , n_{K'}$. Now find another set $\{ {}_{(n_{K'}+1)}\tilde{z}, \ldots , {}_{n_{H_2'}}\tilde{z} \}$ such that the union of both $\{ {}_1\tilde{z}, \ldots , {}_{n_{H_2'}}\tilde{z} \}$ generates the group H_2'. With this generating set and definition 12 we can construct $L_{G'}$:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{G'} = \Big\{ \big[{}_{i_1}\tilde{z}, \ldots , {}_{i_s}\tilde{z}, \, {}_{i_1}\tilde{z} \cdots {}_{i_s}\tilde{z} \big] \in \mathbb{B}_{H_2'} \ \big| \ &(i_1, \ldots , i_s) \text{ an unordered} \\ s\text{-tuple, such that } i_j = 1, \ldots , n_{G'} \ ; \ &i_j \neq i_k \ , \ \forall \, j \neq k \\ &\forall \, j,k = 1, \ldots , s \ ; \ 2 \leq s \leq n_{G'} \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to define the last two sets which will be part of a basis of $\mathbb{B}_G$.

$$\begin{aligned} N_6 = &\Big\{ \big[{}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0, \ldots , {}_{i_s}\hat{z}_0, \, {}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0 \cdots {}_{i_s}\hat{z}_0 \big] = \mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}(\big[{}_{i_1}\tilde{z}, \ldots , {}_{i_s}\tilde{z}, \, {}_{i_1}\tilde{z} \cdots {}_{i_s}\tilde{z} \big]) \in \mathbb{B}_G \ \big| \\ &\quad \big[{}_{i_1}\tilde{z}, \ldots , {}_{i_s}\tilde{z}, \, {}_{i_1}\tilde{z} \cdots {}_{i_s}\tilde{z} \big] \in L_{G'} \Big\} \\ N_7 = &\Big\{ \big[{}_i\hat{z}_0, \, {}_i\hat{z}_0 \big] = \big[\hat{\psi}({}_i\tilde{z}), \hat{\psi}({}_i\tilde{z}) \big] \in \mathbb{B}_G \ \big| \ i = n_{K'} + 1, \ldots , n_{G'} \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the product of conjugacy classes is not defined. The symbol ${}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0 \cdots {}_{i_s}\hat{z}_0$ stands for the image of ${}_{i_1}\tilde{z} \cdots {}_{i_s}\tilde{z}$ under the map $\hat{\psi}$. We haven't added the elements $\big[{}_i\hat{z}_0, {}_i\hat{z}_0 \big]$, $i = 1, \ldots , n_{K'}$, as they are all trivial. Indeed the elements ${}_i\tilde{z}$, $i = 1, \ldots , n_{K'}$, belong to $M_{G'}$ and thus ${}_i\hat{z}_0 = {}_i\hat{z}_0^{-1}$.

Proposition 15 *The elements* $\big[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_0\big] \in P_G$ *lie all in the subgroup generated by* $N_6 \cup N_7$.

Proof . Let $\big[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_0\big]$ be an element of P_G, then $\hat{\phi}(\hat{x}_0) = \tilde{x}$ is an element of H_2' and thus $\tilde{x} = {}_{i_1}\tilde{z} \cdots {}_{i_t}\tilde{z}$ for some $i_j = 1, \ldots , n_{H_2'}$, $j = 1, \ldots , t$, furthermore $\hat{\psi}(\tilde{x}) = \hat{x}_0$ Now we have two cases either $t = 1$ or $t > 1$.

For $t = 1$ we have ${}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0 = \hat{\psi}({}_{i_1}\tilde{z}) = \hat{\psi}(\hat{\phi}(\hat{x}_0)) = \hat{x}_0$, thus $n_{K'} < i_1 \leq n_{H_2'}$ and by the definition of N_7 it follows $\big[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_0\big] \in N_7$.

For $t > 1$ we obtain the following equation;

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_0\big] &= 2 \cdot \big[\,{}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0, \dots, {}_{i_t}\hat{z}_0, \hat{x}_0\big] \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \ominus \big[\,{}_{i_j}\hat{z}_0,\, {}_{i_j}\hat{z}_0\big] \\ &= 2 \cdot \big[\,{}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0, \dots, {}_{i_t}\hat{z}_0,\, {}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0 \cdots {}_{i_t}\hat{z}_0\big] \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \ominus \big[\,{}_{i_j}\hat{z}_0,\, {}_{i_j}\hat{z}_0\big]\end{aligned}$$

First note that the elements $\big[\,{}_{i_j}\hat{z}_0,\, {}_{i_j}\hat{z}_0\big]$ are zero whenever $1 \le i_j \le n_{K'}$ and otherwise they belong to N_7. On the other hand the element $\big[\,{}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0, \dots, {}_{i_t}\hat{z}_0,\, {}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0 \cdots {}_{i_t}\hat{z}_0\big]$ belongs to N_6 and the proposition is proven. ■

Proposition 16 *The set* $\cup_{i=1}^{7} N_i$ *generates* $\mathbb{B}_G$.

Proof . By lemma 2 it suffices to prove that any triple $\big[\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}\big]$ is a linear combination of elements in $\cup_{i=1}^{7} N_i$.

We fix the following notation: $\hat{\phi}(\hat{x}) = \tilde{x}$, $\hat{\phi}(\hat{y}) = \tilde{y}$, $\hat{\phi}(\hat{z}) = \tilde{z}$ and $\hat{\psi}(\tilde{x}) = \hat{x}_0$, $\hat{\psi}(\tilde{y}) = \hat{y}_0$, $\hat{\psi}(\tilde{z}) = \hat{z}_0$.

We have to distinguish four cases:

(i) Let $x, y, z \in [G, G]$ then we can write $\big[\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}\big] = \big[\hat{x}\big] \oplus \big[\hat{y}\big] \oplus \big[\hat{z}\big]$ and thus it is generated by N_1.

(ii) Let $x, y \in [G, G]$ then we have $x \cdot y \cdot z \in [G, G]$ and thus $z \in [G, G]$ and we reduced this case to the first one.

(iii) Let $x \in [G, G]$ and $y, z \notin [G, G]$ then we can write $\big[\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}\big] = \big[\hat{x}\big] \oplus \big[\hat{y}, \hat{z}\big]$ where $\big[\hat{x}\big]$ lies in the span of N_1. Thus it is enough to show that $\big[\hat{y}, \hat{z}\big]$ is in the span of $\cup_{i=1}^{7} N_i$. Note that in this case $\tilde{z} = \tilde{y}^{-1}$. Now assume that $\big[\hat{y}, \hat{z}\big]$ isn't a cancelling pair, then we have again different cases:

  (a) Let $\tilde{z} \neq \tilde{z}^{-1}$ which implies $\hat{z}_0 \in T_G$ and $\hat{z}_0 = \hat{y}_0^{-1}$, then we have either $\hat{z}, \hat{z}_0 \in T_G^+$ or $\hat{y}, \hat{y}_0 \in T_G^+$.

  - If $\hat{z}, \hat{z}_0 \in T_G^+$ then of course $\hat{y}, \hat{y}_0 \in T_G^-$ and we obtain $\big[\hat{y}, \hat{z}\big] = \ominus\big[\hat{z}_0, \hat{z}^{-1}\big] \oplus \big[\hat{z}_0, \hat{y}\big]$. The last two summands are elements of N_2.
  - If $\hat{y}, \hat{y}_0 \in T_G^+$ then equivalently $\hat{z}, \hat{z}_0 \in T_G^-$ and we get $\big[\hat{y}, \hat{z}\big] = \ominus\big[\hat{y}_0, \hat{y}^{-1}\big] \oplus \big[\hat{y}_0, \hat{z}\big]$. The last two summands are again elements of N_2.

  Note that whenever $\hat{z}_0 = \hat{z}$ or $\hat{y}_0 = \hat{y}$ then we ignore the elements which are zero.

  (b) Let $\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}^{-1}$, which implies $\hat{z}_0 = \hat{y}_0$, and assume that $\hat{z}_0 \in T_G^+$. These assumptions lead to the following cases.

  - $\hat{y}, \hat{z} \in T_G^+ \Rightarrow \big[\hat{y}, \hat{z}\big] = \big[\hat{y}_0, \hat{y}\big] \oplus \big[\hat{z}_0, \hat{z}\big] \ominus \big[\hat{y}_0, \hat{z}_0\big]$
  - $\hat{y} \in T_G^+,\ \hat{z} \in T_G^- \Rightarrow \big[\hat{y}, \hat{z}\big] = \big[\hat{y}_0, \hat{y}\big] \ominus \big[\hat{z}_0, \hat{z}^{-1}\big]$
  - $\hat{y}, \hat{z} \in T_G^- \Rightarrow \big[\hat{y}, \hat{z}\big] = \ominus\big[\hat{y}_0, \hat{y}^{-1}\big] \ominus \big[\hat{z}_0, \hat{z}^{-1}\big] \oplus \big[\hat{y}_0, \hat{z}_0\big]$

We see that in the above three cases the element $\big[\hat{y},\hat{z}\big]$ is generated by N_3 and by proposition 15 also by the set $N_6 \cup N_7$.

(c) Let $\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}^{-1}$, which implies $\hat{z}_0 = \hat{y}_0$, and assume that $\hat{z}_0 \in S_G$. These assumptions lead to the following cases.

- $\hat{y},\hat{z} \in T_G^{+} \cup S_G \Rightarrow \big[\hat{y},\hat{z}\big] = \big[\hat{y}_0,\hat{y}\big] \oplus \big[\hat{z}_0,\hat{z}\big]$
- $\hat{y} \in T_G^{+} \cup S_G,\ \hat{z} \in T_G^{-} \Rightarrow \big[\hat{y},\hat{z}\big] = \big[\hat{y}_0,\hat{y}\big] \ominus \big[\hat{z}_0,\hat{z}^{-1}\big]$
- $\hat{y},\hat{z} \in T_G^{-} \Rightarrow \big[\hat{y},\hat{z}\big] = \ominus\big[\hat{y}_0,\hat{y}^{-1}\big] \ominus \big[\hat{z}_0,\hat{z}^{-1}\big]$

For these three cases we can deduce that the element $\big[\hat{y},\hat{z}\big]$ is generated by N_4. Note that whenever $\hat{z}_0 = \hat{z}$ or $\hat{y}_0 = \hat{y}$ then we ignore the elements which are zero.

(iv) For the last case we have $x, y, z \notin [G,G]$ which implies:

$$\big[\hat{x},\hat{y},\hat{z}\big] = \big[\hat{x}_0,\hat{y}_0,\hat{z}_0\big] \oplus \big[\hat{x}_0^{-1},\hat{x}\big]\big[\hat{y}_0^{-1},\hat{y}\big]\big[\hat{z}_0^{-1},\hat{z}\big].$$

The last three summands are pairs and by the third case lie in the span of $\cup_{i=1}^{7} N_i$ and so we have to consider the element $\big[\hat{x}_0,\hat{y}_0,\hat{z}_0\big]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \big[\hat{x}_0,\hat{y}_0,\hat{z}_0\big] &= \mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}} \circ \mathbb{B}_{\phi}(\big[\hat{x}_0,\hat{y}_0,\hat{z}_0\big]) \\ &= \mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}(\big[\tilde{x},\tilde{y},\tilde{z}\big]) \end{aligned}$$

The element $\big[\tilde{x},\tilde{y},\tilde{z}\big]$ belongs to $\mathbb{B}_{G'}$ and thus by theorem 9 and proposition 13 is a linear combination of elements $\{\theta_i\}_{i\in I}$ in $W_{G'} \cup L_{G'}$. By proposition 14 the map $\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}$ is linear up to elements of P_G and so we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \big[\hat{x}_0,\hat{y}_0,\hat{z}_0\big] &= \mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}(\big[\tilde{x},\tilde{y},\tilde{z}\big]) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i\in I} \mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}(\theta_i) \bigoplus_{l} \lambda_l \end{aligned}$$

where λ_l are elements of P_G. By proposition 15 the elements of P_G are generated by $N_6 \cup N_7$ and the elements $\mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}(\theta_i)$ lie in N_5 or N_6.

We have now proven the proposition by showing that any triple $\big[\hat{x},\hat{y},\hat{z}\big]$ is generated by elements in $\cup_{i=1}^{7} N_i$. ■

Proposition 17 *There are no relations between the elements of* $\cup_{i=1}^{7} N_i$ *except for some elements in* N_1, N_4 *and* N_6 *which have order two.*

Proof . First we apply lemma 3 to the sets $M \cup Q = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3 \cup N_4$ and $N = N_5 \cup N_6 \cup N_7$ to deduce that there are no relations between the elements of $N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3 \cup N_4$ and also no relations with elements of $N_5 \cup N_6 \cup N_7$, besides of course the two torsion.

Next we consider the set N_5. Suppose we could express an element α of the group generated by $N_6 \cup N_7$ as a linear combination

$$\alpha = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} a_i \big[\,{}_i\hat{x}_0,\ {}_i\hat{y}_0,\ {}_i\hat{z}_0\big] \quad , \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $\left[{}_i\hat{x}_0,\, {}_i\hat{y}_0,\, {}_i\hat{z}_0\right]$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, are k different elements of N_5. The map $\mathbb{B}_\phi$ sends α to an element of the group generated by $L_{G'}$ and the linear combination to

$$\mathbb{B}_\phi(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} a_i \left[{}_i\tilde{x},\, {}_i\tilde{y},\, {}_i\tilde{z}\right]$$

where $\left[{}_i\tilde{x},\, {}_i\tilde{y},\, {}_i\tilde{z}\right]$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, are k different elements of $W_{G'}$. By theorem 9 and proposition 13 we deduce that $\mathbb{B}_\phi(\alpha) = 0$ and as the elements of $W_{G'}$ form a basis the coefficient a_i, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, have to be zero. Thus there are no relations among the elements of N_5 and between N_5 and $N_6 \cup N_7$.
For the set N_6 we apply again lemma 3 with $M \cup Q = N_6$ and $N = N_7$ which shows that there are no relations among the elements of N_6 and between the elements of N_6 and N_7, besides the two torsion.
Finally the set N_7 remains. There again lemma 3 can be applied where $M = N_7$ and $Q = N = \emptyset$ to show that the elements are linearly independent. ■

Theorem 18 *The set* $\cup_{i=1}^{7} N_i$ *is a basis for* $\mathbb{B}_G$*.*

Proof . By proposition 16 the set $\cup_{i=1}^{7} N_i$ generates the group $\mathbb{B}_G$ and by proposition 17 there are no relations among the elements of $\cup_{i=1}^{7} N_i$, except for the two torsion and thus they form a basis. ■

Theorem 19 *The group of singular orbit data* $\mathbb{B}_G$ *is isomorphic to*

$$\mathbb{Z}^{|T_G^+|} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{|S_G| - n_{K'}}.$$

Proof . We will prove this theorem by introducing a one to one correspondence between the elements of $T_G^+ \cup S_G$, without the images under the map $\hat{\psi}$ of the $n_{K'}$ generators of K', and the elements of $\cup_{i=1}^{7} N_i$.

(i) To every element $x \in [G, G]$ with $\hat{x} \in T_G^+ \cup S_G$ corresponds the element $\left[\hat{x}\right] \in N_1$ and vice versa. The elements of T_G^+ give rise to copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ and the elements of S_G give rise to copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) A conjugacy class $\hat{x}_i \in T_G^+$, $i > 0$, with $\tilde{x} \neq \tilde{x}^{-1}$ corresponds to the element $\left[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i^{-1}\right] \in N_2$ and vice versa. The elements of N_2 give all rise to copies of $\mathbb{Z}$.

(iii) Let $\hat{x}_i \in T_G^+$, $i > 0$, with $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}^{-1}$ and $x \notin [G, G]$, then there are two possibilities.

  (a) $\hat{x}_0 \in T_G^+$; Thus the conjugacy class $\hat{x}_i$ corresponds to the element $\left[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i\right] \in N_3$ and vice versa. The elements of N_3 give rise to copies of $\mathbb{Z}$.

  (b) $\hat{x}_0 \in S_G$; Thus the conjugacy class $\hat{x}_i$ corresponds to the element $\left[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i\right] \in N_4$. These elements of N_4 give also rise to copies of $\mathbb{Z}$. For the other elements of N_4 see the next item.

(iv) Let $\hat{x}_i \in S_G$, $i > 0$, then it follows $\hat{x}_0 \in S_G$ and the corresponding element $\left[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i\right] \in N_4$ gives rise to a copy of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. With this we found a correspondence with every element of N_4.

(v) Now we want to look at the conjugacy classes $\hat{x}_0 \in T_G^+$ with $\tilde{x} \neq \tilde{x}^{-1}$. Between the sets T_G^+ and $T_{G'}^+$ we have the following relation:
$\#\big|\{\hat{x}_0 \in T_G^+ \mid \tilde{x} \neq \tilde{x}^{-1}\}\big| = \#|T_{G'}^+|$.

On the other hand by the construction of N_5 we have:
$\#|W_{G'}| = \#|N_5|$.

By theorem 10 we then obtain the equality:
$\#\big|\{\hat{x}_0 \in T_G^+ \mid \tilde{x} \neq \tilde{x}^{-1}\}\big| = \#|T_{G'}^+| = \#|W_{G'}| = \#|N_5|$.

The elements of N_5 give rise to copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ because $\mathbb{B}_\phi$ is a homomorphism and the images have infinite order.

Thus we have seen that all the elements $\hat{x}_0 \in T_G^+$ with $\tilde{x} \neq \tilde{x}^{-1}$ give rise to copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{B}_G$.

(vi) Recall the notation we introduced to define the sets N_6 and N_7. In this notation an element $\tilde{x} \in H_2'$ can be written as a product

$$\tilde{x} = {}_{i_1}\tilde{z} \cdots {}_{i_t}\tilde{z}$$

with $i_j = 1, \dots, n_{G'}$, $1 \leq j \leq t$, $t \geq 1$, and we have $\hat{x}_0 = \mathbb{B}_{\hat{\psi}}({}_{i_1}\tilde{z} \cdots {}_{i_t}\tilde{z}) = {}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0 \cdots {}_{i_t}\hat{z}_0$. Note that the images under the map $\hat{\psi}$ of the $n_{K'}$ generators of K' are denoted by ${}_i\hat{z}_0$, $i = 1, \dots, n_{K'}$.

Let now the conjugacy classes $\hat{x}_0 \in S_G$, but $\hat{x}_0 \neq {}_i\hat{z}_0$ with $i = 1, \dots, n_{K'}$ (i.e., $\hat{x}_0$ is not the image under the map $\hat{\psi}$ of one of the $n_{K'}$ generators of K'). Since $\tilde{x}$ is an element of $M_{G'}$ but not a generator of K' we have the following presentation for $\tilde{x}$.

$$\tilde{x} = {}_{i_1}\tilde{z} \cdots {}_{i_t}\tilde{z}$$

with $i_j = 1, \dots, n_{K'}$, $1 \leq j \leq t$ and $t > 1$. The element which corresponds to $\hat{x}_0$ is now $\left[{}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0, \dots, {}_{i_t}\hat{z}_0, \hat{x}_0\right] \in N_6$ and this element has order two. The remaining elements of N_6 are covered by the next item.

(vii) The last conjugacy classes which remain from the set $T_G^+ \cup S_G$, without the images under the map $\hat{\psi}$ of the $n_{K'}$ generators of K', are the classes $\hat{x}_0 \in T_G^+$ with $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}^{-1}$ and $\tilde{x} \neq 1$ (i.e., $\tilde{x} \in H_2' - M_G'$). With the notation of the previous item we have again two cases.

  (a) $t > 1$; $\hat{x}_0$ corresponds to the element $\left[{}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0, \dots, {}_{i_t}\hat{z}_0, \hat{x}_0\right] \in N_6$ which gives rise to a copy of $\mathbb{Z}$. These are now all elements of N_6.

  (b) $t = 1$; Then we have $\hat{x}_0 = {}_{i_1}\hat{z}_0$ and thus $i_1 = n_{K'} + 1, \dots, n_{G'}$ and the conjugacy class corresponds to the element $\left[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_0\right] \in N_7$ and vice versa. Note that the elements of N_7 have all infinite order.

With this we have shown that every element of $T_G^+ \cup S_G$, without the images under the map $\hat{\psi}$ of the $n_{K'}$ generators of K', corresponds to exactly one element in $\cup_{i=1}^{7} N_i$ with the appropriate order. ■

Corollary 20 *The group $\mathbb{B}_G$ is trivial if and only $G \cong C_2$ or G is trivial.*

Proof . The case where G is trivial is trivial. If $G \cong C_2$ then by example 1, $\mathbb{B}_G$ is trivial.

On the other hand let $\mathbb{B}_G \cong 0$, then $T_G = \emptyset$ and $|S_G| = n_{K'}$. From this we deduce that G consists only of elements which are conjugate to their inverse, i.e., $|\hat{G}| - 1 = |S_G|$ and thus $n_{K'} = n_{G'}$. Moreover we have $|G'| = 2^{n_{G'}}$. From this we conclude:

$$2^{n_{G'}} - 1 = |G'| - 1 \leq |\hat{G}| - 1 = |S_G| = n_{G'}.$$

This equation yields two cases either $n_{G'} = 0$ or $n_{G'} = 1$. If $n_{G'}$ is zero then $|S_G| = 0$ and the group G is trivial. If $n_{G'}$ is one then $G/[G,G] \cong C_2$ and $|\hat{G}| = 2$. Thus $[G,G]$ has to be trivial and $G \cong C_2$. ■

5 Relation with Cobordism

Before we can talk about the relation with G-equivariant cobordism we give its definition.

Definition 21 *Let M_1 (resp. M_2) be a compact, oriented, connected Riemann surface with smooth G-action $\kappa_1 : G \to$ Diffeo$_+(M_1)$ (resp. $\kappa_2 : G \to$ Diffeo$_+(M_2)$) We say that κ_1 is G-**equivariant cobordant** to κ_2, written $\kappa_1 \sim \kappa_2$, if there exists a smooth, compact, oriented, connected 3-manifold V and a smooth G-action Φ on V such that*

(i) The boundary of V is the disjoint union of M_1 and $-M_2$, $\partial(V) = M_1 \cup -M_2$. The notation $-M_2$ denotes M_2 with opposite orientation. The orientations on M_1 and $-M_2$ coincide with the one induced by V.

(ii) Φ restricted to $\partial(V)$ agrees with $\kappa_1 \cup \kappa_2$.

We also say that κ_1 is zero G-equivariant cobordant, written $\kappa_1 \sim 0$, if $\partial(V) = M_1$. Ω_G will denote the set of G-equivariant cobordism classes and a class will be denoted by (κ, M).

The set Ω_G forms an Abelian group where the addition is given as for $\mathbb{B}_G$ in section 2 by the G-equivariant connected sum. In [8] the author shows that two G-actions which are cobordant have the same singular orbit data, thus there is a well defined homomorphism $\chi : \Omega_G \to \mathbb{B}_G$ which sends every class (κ, M) to its singular orbit data. Moreover the map χ is surjective. Indeed, take any G-action which represents a given singular orbit data $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_G$, the corresponding cobordism class will then be mapped to the same singular orbit data α by χ. In the same paper it is also shown that the kernel of χ consists only of the cobordism classes of free G-actions. The next proposition proves that the subgroup of free actions is isomorphic to $H_2(G;\mathbb{Z})$. The proof is an easy consequence of a spectral sequence described in Conner and Floyd's book [3].

Proposition 22 *The kernel of the map χ is isomorphic to $H_2(G;\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof . In [3, Theorem 20.4] Conner and Floyd prove that the subgroup of cobordism classes of free G-actions is isomorphic to $MSO_2(BG)$, the cobordism homology of the classifying space of G.

On the other hand there is a spectral sequence $\{E^r_{p,q}\}$ with $E^2_{p,q} = H_p(G; MSO_q)$ and whose E^∞-term is associated to a filtration of $MSO_*(BG)$. It turns out that for $MSO_2(BG)$ the E^2-term already stabilizes and as $MSO_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $MSO_1 \cong MSO_2 \cong 0$ we have

$$MSO_2(BG) \cong \sum_{p+q=2} H_p(G; MSO_q) \cong H_2(G;\mathbb{Z}).$$

■

We can now conclude that the G-equivariant cobordism group Ω_G of surface diffeomorphisms is an Abelian group extension of $\mathbb{B}_G$ by $H_2(G;\mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 23 *Every G-action is cobordant to a free action if and only if $G \cong C_2$ or G is the trivial group.*

Proof . By corollary 20, $\mathbb{B}_G$ is the trivial group if and only if $G \cong C_2$ or G is trivial. Thus the corollary follows as the kernel of χ consists of the cobordism classes of the free G-actions. ■

Corollary 24 *If there is no torsion in the group $\mathbb{B}_G$, then Ω_G is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathbb{B}_G$ with $H_2(G;\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof . The group $\mathbb{B}_G$ consists only of copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ and Ω_G surjects onto this group. Thus as Ω_G is Abelian and finitely generated the short exact sequence $0 \to H_2(G;\mathbb{Z}) \to \Omega_G \to \mathbb{B}_G \to 0$ splits. ■

6 Relation to Representation Theory of finite Groups

In section 2 we introduced the G-signature of Atiyah and Singer $\eta : \mathbb{B}_G \to R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ (equation (2)) and the G-signature $\theta : \mathbb{B}_G \to R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)/E_G$, but we referred to this section for a short discussion of the subgroup E_G and the properties of θ.

The subgroup E_G is defined as follows:

$$E_G := \langle Ind^G_H \rho_0 \,|\, H \leq G \rangle.$$

The representation ρ_0 denotes always the one dimensional trivial representation. The map θ is then just the map φ followed by the surjection on to the quotient and it turns out that the resulting map is a homomorphism (see [8, section 4]).

It is proven in [8, theorem 21] that the map θ is injective on the copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{B}_G$ and moreover that $\theta(\beta) \neq \overline{\theta(\beta)}$ for every element of infinite order $\beta \in \mathbb{B}_G$ and $\theta(\alpha) = \overline{\theta(\alpha)}$ for every element of order two $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_G$ [8, proposition 24]. By the way η is defined in equation (2) it follows that η is also injective on the elements of infinite order but zero on the elements of order two.

What can we say about θ? Is it also zero on the elements of order two? The subgroup $E_G < R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ is contained in $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ which shows that $\theta(\alpha)$ might be zero for elements α of order two as $\theta(\alpha) = \overline{\theta(\alpha)}$ in this case.

For $G = S_3$ (see example 5), the symmetric group on three letters, we have $\mathbb{B}_{S_3} \cong C_2$ and on the other hand $E_G = R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) = R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$. From the second fact we deduce that the map θ is the zero map. This phenomenon where for a finite group G its *geometric representation theory* $\mathbb{B}_G$ and its representation ring $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ both have special properties fits into a broader picture.

Proposition 25 *The group $\mathbb{B}_G$ contains only elements of order two if and only if every complex character of G has values in $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof . The group $\mathbb{B}_G$ consists only of elements of order two if and only if every element of G is conjugate to its inverse.

If every element a of G is conjugate to its inverse, then by the formula $\overline{\chi(a)} = \chi(a^{-1})$, χ a character, every character has values in $\mathbb{R}$. On the other hand if every character χ has values in $\mathbb{R}$, then the characters have the same value for an element a of G and their inverse a^{-1}. But the characters form a basis for the vector space of class functions and as such have to separate conjugacy classes. Thus every element has to be conjugate to its inverse. (See also Serre's book [11].) ■

The proposition doesn't say anything about E_G, so we don't know in general whether the map θ is zero or not in this case.

The advantage of our approach is that in the case where θ is not injective, we can try to find a smaller subgroup $E'_G < E_G$ such that the new map

$$\theta' : \mathbb{B}_G \to R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)/E'_G$$

is still a homomorphism and in addition becomes injective. We want to illustrate this idea with the example $G = S_3$.

Let χ_0 be the trivial representation, χ_1 the one dimensional non-trivial representation and χ_2 the two dimensional irreducible representation of S_3. Recall the notation from example 5 and let

$$E'_{S_3} = \big\langle Ind_{<a>}^{S_3}\rho_0\,,\, Ind_{<1>}^{S_3}\rho_0\,,\, Ind_{S_3}^{S_3}\rho_0 \big\rangle = \big\langle \chi_0 + \chi_1\,,\, \chi_0 + \chi_1 + 2\chi_2\,,\, \chi_0 \big\rangle.$$

Then we have that the class of χ_2 generates $R_{\mathbb{C}}S_3/E'_{S_3} \cong C_2$. We know that $\mathbb{B}_{S_3} \cong \big\langle [\hat{a}] \big\rangle \cong C_2$; thus it remains to show that $\theta' : \mathbb{B}_{S_3} \to R_{\mathbb{C}}S_3/E'_{S_3}$ is non zero on $[\hat{a}]$.

Let $\phi_{[\hat{a}]_{S_3}}$ be an embedding of S_3 into Γ_3 with singular orbit data $[\hat{a}]_{S_3}$. Then by the method of [8, proposition 17] we find that $\varphi(\phi_{[\hat{a}]_{S_3}}) = \chi_0 + \chi_2$ and thus θ' maps $[\hat{a}]$ to the class of χ_2 and θ' is an isomorphism.

Note that E'_{S_3} consists of the minimal relations in order to make θ' a group homomorphism. It consists of the representations coming from the free actions and the cancelling pair $\left[\hat{a}, \hat{a}\right]_{S_3}$.

In this situation the maps $\mathbb{B}_i : \mathbb{B}_{C_3} \to \mathbb{B}_{S_3}$, $\widetilde{Ind}_{C_3}^{S_3} : \widetilde{R_{\mathbb{C}}C_3} \to R_{\mathbb{C}}S_3/E'_{S_3}$ and θ' still commute. This follows from the fact that

$$Ind_{C_3}^{S_3}(\varphi(\phi_{[a,a,a]_{C_3}})) \equiv Ind_{C_3}^{S_3}\rho_1 = \chi_2 \equiv \varphi(\phi_{[\hat{a}]_{S_3}}) \equiv \varphi(\phi_{\mathbb{B}_i([a,a,a]_{C_3})})$$

where the equivalence is taken modulo E'_{S_3} and ρ_1 denotes a one dimensional faithful representation of C_3. With $\phi_{[a,a,a]_{C_3}}$ and $\phi_{\mathbb{B}_i([a,a,a]_{C_3})}$ we denote embeddings of C_3 and S_3 respectively, into some mapping class groups with singular orbit data $[a, a, a]_{C_3}$ and $\mathbb{B}_i([a, a, a]_{C_3})$ respectively.

References

[1] R.D.M. Accola: Topics in the theory of Riemann surfaces. (Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1595) Berlin: Springer 1994.

[2] M.F. Atiyah and I.M. Singer: The index of elliptic operators III. Ann. of Math. **87**, 546-604 (1968).

[3] P.E. Conner and E.E. Floyd: Differentiable periodic maps. (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, N. F., vol. 33) Berlin: Springer 1964.

[4] A.L. Edmonds and J. Ewin: Remarks on the cobordism group of surface diffeomorphisms. Math. Ann. **259**, 497-504 (1982).

[5] J. Ewing: The image of the Atiyah-Bott map. Math. Z. **165**, 53-71 (1979).

[6] R. Grieder: The infinite order of the symplectic classes in the cohomology of the stable mapping class group. In: A. Adem, J. Carlson, S. Priddy and P. Webb (eds.): Group representations: cohomology, group actions and topology (Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 63, pp. 257-269) AMS 1998.

[7] R. Grieder: Embedding of polynomial algebras in the cohomology of the mapping class groups. Math. Z., to appear.

[8] R. Grieder: Geometric representation theory and G-signature. submitted.

[9] S. Kerckhoff: The Nielsen realization problem. Ann. of Math. **117**, 235-265 (1983).

[10] G. Mislin: Mapping class groups, characteristic classes, and Bernoulli numbers. In: G. Mislin (ed.): The Hilton Symposium 1993, Topics in topology and group theory (Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, vol. 6, pp. 103-131) AMS 1994.

[11] J.P. Serre: Linear representations of finite groups (Graduate Texts in Math. 42) New York Heidelberg Berlin: Springer 1977.